App F IX 4

Previous References

194
(ML)

File No 150-48
Serial Nos 1, 2

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

P-13
(E)

Part B for Sept. 48

SUBJECT

Procedure in connection with correspondence with Govt by the City municipalities

~~G.O. No~~ 2 2354/7-9-48

To President ~~City~~ Mysore City municipal council Mysore

Issued

H.D.L.

4685
ll

From the president city Municipal Council Mysore
Letter dated 7-2-48

Requests that the concerned Secretary to Government be directed to address all communications to the president of the Municipal Council and not to the Municipal Commissioner so as to have direct contact with the president

1) Minute of the Minister for City Municipalities

To the Revenue Secretary for report of the procedure adopted in the case of the Bangalore City Municipality "

Sd/- P.S. Secy
7-2-48

2) Revenue Secretary's order

please submit with a note at once "

Sd/- S A A

3) An extract from Section 24 (3) of the Mysore City Municipalities Act 1933 - re the Channel of Communication with Government

Garnished overleaf

4685
MM

"All correspondence between a Municipal Council and the Government shall be conducted through the president The president shall without delay transmit all communications addressed through him by the Municipal Commissioner to the Government or by the Government to the Municipal Commissioner."

4) According to the above provision of the Act all correspondence with the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore city is carried on through the president of the Council, being addressed as "municipal Commissioner thro' the President" Bangalore

5) Similarly, the ~~Asst~~ Municipal Commissioner Mysore city will be addressed through the president of the Municipal Council on all matters relating to the administration of the Mysore city Municipality.

10/2/48 Pg 10 2 48 6) The Last proviso of the Law Section may perhaps be deleted if the

4530 – Law

above practice with the Cauvery

Arrangement is not in accordance

with the spirit of [~~Sec 24 (3) of the~~] the Act of 1933.

Secy for
10/2/48



Law Secy
w/c

8
11/2/48
for

7) It is clear from Sec 24 (3) of the city Municipalities Act that the President is the channel of correspondence between the Govt & the municipal council



Law Secy)

for 16/2

8) SK
17/2

New Secy
w/c

8/00
18/2

for 17/2

9) The President city Municipal Council, Mysore will be informed that all communications relating to the administration of the Mysore city Municipality will be addressed through him, being

4685
M

the Channel of Correspondence between the Government and the Municipal Council

10) Refer para 1 Supra

11) In the circumstances explained above, it does not appear to be possible to Comply with the request of the President, City Municipal Council, Jaipur, that all Communications should be addressed to him

E234/28/2 Pers 19-2-48

Yours sincerely

12) The opinion of the Law Min is not clear enough with reference to the issue raised in para 6

13) The wordings of the Sec 24 (3) of the Cities Acts Act are to the effect, that the President shall without delay transmit all communications addressed through him by the Municipal Commissioner to the govt or by the govt to the Municipal Commissioner

14) These wordings go to indicate that all correspondence either to the govt or by the govt will be with the municipal Commissioner, and every such correspondence will pass through the President. This is exactly the procedure that is in vogue hitherto — But the President Mysore Municipality seems to suggest that all such correspondence should be addressed to him, instead of to the

✓4775 Law 4655/fe

Municipal Commissioners

This point requires clarification, as also the point whether the existing practice is not in conformity with the spirit of the Act as contended by Mr. Seetharamiah.

Law Secy W/C

4790
23/2/48

23/2/48 23/2

15) The former part of the section clearly lays down that all correspondence between the Municipal Council and the Government shall be conducted through the President. The latter portion provides that the President should transmit such correspondence to the Commissioner. But there is no rule that letters should be addressed to the President by the Government or by the President to the Government. The President cannot insist that the letters should be addressed to him by the Government. The procedure now in vogue appears to be correct.

RECEIVED 2/3 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Law Secy) fr 28/2

SECRETARY LAW DEPT 4994 28 FEB 1948 GOVT OF MYSORE

Rw Secy W/C) 16/ 28/2

1/3 fr 1/3

4685/mc

17) In view of the opinion of the Law Section at flag 15 ante, the president / ~~of the~~ type of municipal council may perhaps be informed that the present proceedings re addressing all communications to the Commr., type of mfg. cannot be altered ~~as~~ -

18) submitted for orders with reference to flag 12 of the notes

Mar 3/3 Br 3.3.48

19) Revised file 4/3/48

20) Secretary C Rules & Forms

T E [illegible] STER FOR CITY LU[illegible] C.PALIT AND [illegible] MUZRAU
No 1454
Date 4-3- 1948

21) In regard to this matter, I would like to discuss with D.G. Law Secretary Please

17/

8 4/3/48

22) The Law Secretary may perhaps please see papers before the discussion takes place

Br 22.3.48

23) Yes, but after the Revised has been sent Revised 1 to 17 file

Let 2 4/7

24) Law Secy
W.C.

25) Personal
8/8
4/8

26) Rev Secy
M.C.

8

3117



27) Submitted with reference to para 21 ante

Rev Secy
6-8-48

6/8/48

~~Jenkins~~

28) please submit
in the after-noon file

8/8

29) The subject was discussed by us
with the Minister for Commerce on
the 16th instant. The Rev Secy to
Govt were present at the time.
After a detailed examination of the
provisions of the section 24 of the Cot. M.

Act 1933 and after ascertaining
the practice followed in the
Branch on this behalf, the
Minister was pleased to direct
that a suitable reply may
be sent to the Reserve.

Dy Secy (Pl) put up
a draft reply accordingly

for
16/8/48

30) Submitted with a draft for approval

for
21-8-48
Secretary

Reply sent
25/8/48

2144
26/8

Appd
8
11/9/48
Secy

Issued in No. L 235-4/48 (SD-48-2)
dated 7-9-48 to the President,
Dy Mil & Supply

B
Asst
10/9/48

P Sitaramiah B A B L
PRESIDENT

COPY
MUNICIPAL JOURNAL
No. TM27 PC 23/12-48
Date 9-2-1948

Mu 150-48-1

The Municipal Office 4685
MYSORE 10 FEB 12
LSS SECTION

Dated 7-2-1948

To The Revenue Secretary

My dear Sir O Subbaramasetty

Export of the procedure adopted in Case of Bangalore City Municipality

May I request you to kindly direct the concerned Secretary of Government to address all communications to the President of the Municipal Council and not to the Municipal Commissioner, so as to have direct contact with the President and to keep to the spirit of the act?

In this connection, I would like to bring to your kind notice that this question has been decided at Bangalore city Municipality and hence the same procedure may kindly be followed here also

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

P Sitaramiah

Rajasevasakta
O Subbaramasetty Esq
Minister for City Municipalities,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

L 2354/2 cpy
MH 150-48-2

To The President 7-9-48
Mysore City MC & C
Mysore

Subject Procedure in connection with correspondence with Govt bypass City Municipalities

Sir, With reference to your DO letter No PC 25/47-48 dated the 7th February 1948 addressed to the Minister for City Municipalities and Hutzgar on the above subject I am directed to

State that after an ~~detailed~~
examination of the provisions of
Section 24(3) of the City Municipalities
Act 1933 as amended from time
to time it is seen that
the procedure now in force
in the matter of addressing
letters to the Municipal Commissioners
of the Concerned City Municipality
(through the President) is correct
and calls for no modification
and there is no doubt that such
letters should be addressed to the
President direct

I am to state that no change
is called for in the procedure now
followed in this behalf

Yours faithfully

Principal Secretary to Government

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No L 2354 / ~~L 22904~~ Gt 150-48-2 General and Revenue Secretariat, Dated, Bangalore, ~~7~~ 7/12 Sept 194 8

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue ~~General~~ *Department*

To

The President,
Mysore City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

Sir,

Sub -Procedure in connection with correspondence with Govt by the City Municipalities

With reference to your D.O letter No.PC 25/47-48 dated the 7th February 1948 addressed to the Minister for City Municipalities and Muzrai on the above subject, I am directed to state that after an examination of the provisions of Section 24(3) of the City Municipalities Act 1933 as amended from time to time it is seen that the procedure now in vogue in the matter of addressing letters to the Municipal Commissioner of the concerned City Municipalities (through the President) is correct and calls for no modification.

Yours faithfully,

for Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

GR2.